

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,976	01/29/2004	Peng Chang	SAR-14948	4351
58882 20599 PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR LOWENSTEIN SANDLER P.C. 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND, NI 07068			EXAMINER	
			LE, BRIAN Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/766.976 CHANG ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2624 BRIAN Q. LE All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) BRIAN Q. LE. (3) (2) Rohini K. Garg (Reg. No. 45,272). (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 10 June 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Nicolas et al. U.S. Patent No. 7,139,423. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner illustrates the Applicant Representative of how the Examiner has made reasonable interpretation to show that Nicolas Reference discloses a concept of "selecting a plurality of the patches of the depth map for processing, wherein said processing comprise classifying the selected plurality of patches of the depth map into a plurality of classes based on said 3D position data". (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian Q Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624